## SHORT REPORTS

# FERREDOXIN FROM THE CYANOBACTERIUM OSCILLATORIA AGARDHII

MICHAEL G DALY\* and RONALD H BISHOP

School of Life Sciences, Ulster Polytechnic, Newtownabbey, County Antrim, BT37 OQB, UK

(Received 24 April 1984)

Key Word Index-Oscillatoria agardhii Cyanobacteria, nitrogen fixation, ferredoxin

Abstract—Oscillatoria agardhii contained a single ferredoxin It was a [2Fe-2S] protein of MW 11 075, with a midpoint redox potential (-380 mV) characteristic of ferredoxins from non-nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria and different from that of the nitrogen-fixing Oscillatoria limnetica

#### INTRODUCTION

Ferredoxins serve as low potential electron donors to several physiological processes in cyanobacteria [1] Some degree of functional specialization of ferredoxins may have evolved Some species appear to contain multiple ferredoxins differing in their biochemical properties in vitro [2-4] Cammack et al [5] speculated that the mid-point redox potentials  $(E_m)$  of cyanobacterial ferredoxins may be correlated with the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen. We have purified the ferredoxin from Oscillatoria agardhu and, by comparison of its properties with those of O limnetica [5], suggest that this relationship may hold within a single genus

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 summarises the properties of the ferredoxin purified from *O agardhu* They are typical of the plant-type [2Fe-2S] ferredoxins

Cammack et al [5] showed that the known mid-point

redox potentials of the major ferredoxins (Fd I in those cases where multiple ferredoxins were found) of cyanobacteria were within the range -310 to -390 mV Inspection of their data suggests that two subdivisions occurred Ferredoxins with  $E_m$  values in the range -310to -355 mV were all from cyanobacteria capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen (sometimes only under anaerobic conditions), while those in the range -375 to -390 mV were all from non-nitrogen-fixing species Ferredoxins from two species of Oscillatoria have now been characterized The ferredoxin from O limnetica [5] had an  $E_m$ (-346 mV) within the range typical of nitrogen-fixing species and this species has subsequently been shown to fix nitrogen anaerobically [6] Attempts to demonstrate anaerobic nitrogen fixation by O agardhii, however, have failed [7] and our value for the  $E_m$  of its ferredoxin (-380 mV) falls correspondingly within the range of those from non-nitrogen-fixing species A comparison of ferredoxins from other closely related nitrogen-fixing and non-fixing species would be of great interest

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

Isolation and purification of ferredoxin Oscillatoria agardhii Gom, Cambridge Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa no 1459/11, was grown in a large scale batch culture facilty Cells

Table 1 Properties of O agardhu ferredoxin

$\lambda_{max}$ (nm) of oxidized form	274, 282 (sh), 330, 442, 464		
$A_{\text{max}}/A_{274\text{nm}}$	1 0,, 0 68, 0 52, 0 46		
MW	$11075\pm9\%$ $(p<005)$		
ε (422 nm)	10026 M <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup>		
Mol ratio	non-haem $iron = 2.08$		
	labile sulphide $= 1.90$		
pI	3 55		
$E_{ m m}$	$E_{\rm m} = -380 \ (\pm 9.9) \ \text{mV} \text{ at pH } 8.0 \ (p < 0.05)$		
NADP reduction	39 mmol NADP/mg Chl μmol Fd hr		

PHYTO 23 11-Q

<sup>\*</sup>Present address Freshwater Biological Investigation Unit, Muckamore, County Antrim, BT41 4PX, UK

Table 2 Purification of ferredoxin

Purification step	Vol (ml)	Ferredoxin (µmol)	$\frac{A420}{A275}$	$\frac{A260}{A275}$
(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> supernatant	520	2 65	0 01	nd
1st DEAE column	52	2 58	0 05	1 41
2nd DEAE column	120	1 98	0 13	1 35
3rd DEAE column	105	1 61	0 19	1 26
Sephadex G-75 column	22	0 61	0 50	095
Peak G-75 fraction	4 5	nd	0 52	0 92

Ferredoxin was obtained from 200 g wet wt of cells The A420/A275 ratio was used to indicate the purity of the preparation and the A260/A275 ratio reflected the removal of contaminating nucleic acids nd = Not determined

were resuspended in 100 mM Tris, pH 77, disrupted and the cell debris was removed by centrifugation. The supernatant was brought to 50% saturation with (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the precipitated proteins were discarded after being assayed for ferredoxin activity All subsequent solns used in the purification were made up in 50 mM Tris, pH 77 The supernatant was applied to a DEAE cellulose column equilibrated with 50% satd (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> [8] and eluted with 06 M NaCl After application to a second DEAE cellulose column, ferredoxin was eluted with a linear gradient of 01-03 M NaCl The ferredoxin was recovered as a single, well defined peak with no shoulders. The most active fractions were purified further by a slow elution from a third DEAE cellulose column with 027 M NaCl After concn on a small DEAE cellulose column, the ferredoxin was applied to a Sephadex G-75 column which was equilibrated and developed in an upward direction with 04 M NaCl in Tris buffer Fractions with A420/A275 ratios of 0 49 or greater were retained as purified ferredoxin [9] Table 2 summarizes the purification process

Characterization of ferredoxin Ferredoxin activity was assayed by light-dependent NADP reduction using pea chloroplasts [9] Non-haem iron was determined by the method of Lovenberg et al [10], acid-labile sulphide by that of Suhara et al [11] and

mid-point redox potential by that of Dutton [12] MW was estimated by gel filtration [13] through a Sephadex G-75 column, and the pI by determining the precipitation (A750 nm) of ferredoxin ( $25 \mu\text{M}$  in 50 mM potassium hydrogen phthalate) between pH 30 and 40 at intervals of 0.05 pH units Soluble protein was determined by a modified Lowry method [14] more suitable for proteins low in tryptophan

Acknowledgements—We thank our colleagues at the Freshwater Biological Investigation Unit for their advice and encouragement

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Stewart, W D P (1980) Annu Rev Microbiol 34, 497
- 2 Shin, M., Sukenobu, M., Oshino, R. and Kitazume, Y. (1977). Biochim Biophys Acta 460, 85
- 3 Hutson, K G, Rogers, L J, Haslett, B G and Boulter, D (1980) FEBS Micro Letters 7, 279
- 4 Wada, K, Matsubara, H, Chain, R K and Arnon, D I (1981) Plant Cell Physiol 22, 275
- 5 Cammack, R, Rao, K K, Bargeron, C P, Hutson, K G, Andrew, P and Rogers, L J (1977) Biochem J 168, 205
- 6 Belkin, S, Arieli, B and Padan, E (1982) Isr J Botany 31, 199
- 7 Kalininskaya, T A, Pankratova, E M and Khokhlova, V F (1981) Mikrobiologiya (Eng tr) 50, 401
- 8 Mayhew, S G (1971) Analyt Biochem 42, 191
- 9 Buchanan, B B and Arnon, D I (1971) Methods Enzymol 23, 413
- 10 Lovenberg, W, Buchanan, B B and Rabinowitz, J C (1963) J Biol Chem 238, 3899
- 11 Suhara, K., Takemori, S., Katagiri, M., Wada, K., Kobayashi, H. and Matsubara, H. (1975) Analyt Biochem 68, 632
- 12 Dutton, P L (1978) Methods Enzymol 54, 411
- 13 Andrews, P (1970) in Methods of Biochemical Analysis (Glick, D, ed) Vol 18, p 1 Interscience, New York
- 14 Herbert, D, Phipps, P J and Strange, R E (1977) in Methods in Microbiology (Norris, J R and Ribbons, D W, eds) Vol 5B, p 209 Academic Press, London